

THE HESSIAN OF A GENUS ONE CURVE

TOM FISHER

ABSTRACT. We continue our development of the invariant theory of genus one curves, with the aim of computing certain twists of the universal family of elliptic curves parametrised by the modular curve $X(n)$ for $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$. Our construction makes use of a covariant we call the Hessian, generalising the classical Hessian that exists in degrees $n = 2, 3$. As a first application we recover the formulae of Rubin and Silverberg for families of n -congruent elliptic curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

In our earlier paper [7] we developed the invariant theory of genus one curves of degree $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$, our main original contribution being in the case $n = 5$. In this paper we study the covariants of a genus one curve, and find that the classical Hessian in degrees $n = 2, 3$ has a natural generalisation to degrees $n = 4, 5$. The arithmetic significance of the Hessian is that it allows us to compute certain twists of the universal family of elliptic curves parametrised by the modular curve $X(n)$. The existence of the Hessian is most easily shown by a double application of the evectant construction described by Salmon [15] in the case $n = 3$. For this reason we study the contravariants in parallel with the covariants. In the case $n = 5$ taking evectants is not practical, since the invariants are too large to write down as explicit polynomials. Nonetheless we have found a practical algorithm for evaluating the Hessian in this case.

In §§3,4 we relate the invariants of a genus one curve to the invariants described by Klein in his *Lectures on the icosahedron* [10]. We imitate these methods in §§5,6 to show that the covariants and contravariants each form a free module of rank 2 over the ring of invariants. The invariants, covariants and contravariants are related by identities recorded in §§8,10, generalising those given by Salmon [15] in the case $n = 3$. In §9 we give a formula for the family of elliptic curves that are n -congruent to a given elliptic curve. This generalises work of Rubin and Silverberg [13], [14], [16]. In the final two sections we describe

Date: 12th October 2006.

some practical methods for computing the Hessian. These formulae and algorithms have been contributed to **MAGMA** [11, Version 2.13] by the author.

In a sequel to this paper we will explain how the Hessian may be used to compute equations for visible elements of the Tate-Shafarevich group of an elliptic curve, the notion of visibility being that introduced by Mazur [4], [12].

Let us fix our notation. We work throughout over a field K whose characteristic does not divide $6n$. Except in §9, we assume that K is algebraically closed. The symbol ζ_m denotes a primitive m th root of unity in K . We abbreviate the matrix groups $\mathrm{GL}_m(K)$, $\mathrm{SL}_m(K)$ and $\mathrm{PGL}_m(K)$ as GL_m , SL_m and PGL_m .

Definition 1.1. A genus one model of degree $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$ is

- (i) if $n = 2$ a binary quartic
- (ii) if $n = 3$ a ternary cubic
- (iii) if $n = 4$ a pair of quadrics in 4 variables
- (iv) if $n = 5$ a 5×5 alternating matrix of linear forms in 5 variables.

We write X_n for the space of genus one models of degree n . It is an affine space of dimension $N = 10n/(6 - n)$. We give the co-ordinate ring $K[X_n]$ its usual grading by degree. A model $\phi \in X_n$ defines a subvariety C_ϕ of $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$ or $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ according as $n = 2$ or $n = 3, 4, 5$. In the case $n = 5$ the equations used are the 4×4 Pfaffians of ϕ . We say that ϕ is non-singular if C_ϕ is a smooth curve of genus one.

In [7] we defined a linear algebraic group $\mathcal{G}_n$ acting on X_n and said that models $\phi, \phi' \in X_n$ are equivalent if they belong to the same $\mathcal{G}_n$ -orbit. We also defined a rational character on $\mathcal{G}_n$ by

$$\begin{array}{ll} n = 2 & \det : \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathrm{GL}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m; \quad [\mu, B] \mapsto \mu \det B \\ n = 3 & \det : \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathrm{GL}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m; \quad [\mu, B] \mapsto \mu \det B \\ n = 4 & \det : \mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m; \quad [A, B] \mapsto \det A \det B \\ n = 5 & \det : \mathrm{GL}_5 \times \mathrm{GL}_5 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m; \quad [A, B] \mapsto (\det A)^2 \det B. \end{array}$$

Notice that the definitions of $\mathcal{G}_2$ and X_2 are slightly different from those in [7, §3.2], since we have no need to accommodate fields of characteristic 2. We write G_n for the commutator subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_n$. Thus $G_2 = \mathrm{SL}_2$, $G_3 = \mathrm{SL}_3$, $G_4 = \mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_4$ and $G_5 = \mathrm{SL}_5 \times \mathrm{SL}_5$.

2. THE INVARIANTS

Definition 2.1. The ring of invariants is

$$K[X_n]^{G_n} = \{F \in K[X_n] : F \circ g = F \text{ for all } g \in G_n\}.$$

An invariant F has weight k if $F \circ g = (\det g)^k F$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$.

Proposition 2.2. *Every homogeneous invariant of degree d has weight k where $d = kn/(6 - n)$.*

PROOF: This is [7, Lemma 4.3]. Some care is needed in the case $n = 2$ since we have changed the definitions of $\mathcal{G}_2$ and X_2 . $\square$

Theorem 2.3. *Let $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$. There are invariants c_4, c_6 and Δ of weights 4, 6 and 12, related by $c_4^3 - c_6^2 = 1728\Delta$, such that*

- (i) *The ring of invariants $K[X_n]^{G_n}$ is generated by c_4 and c_6 .*
- (ii) *A model $\phi \in X_n$ is non-singular if and only if $\Delta(\phi) \neq 0$.*

PROOF: This is [7, Theorem 4.4]. The invariants for $n = 2, 3, 4$ are classical, and are surveyed in [1]. $\square$

For $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$ we write g^T for the element obtained by transposing the constituent matrices. We also write g^{-T} for $(g^T)^{-1}$.

Definition 2.4. A polynomial map $F : X_n \rightarrow X_n$ is

- (i) a covariant if $F \circ g = g \circ F$ for all $g \in G_n$,
- (ii) a contravariant if $F \circ g = g^{-T} \circ F$ for all $g \in G_n$.

A covariant or contravariant F is homogeneous of degree d if $F(\lambda\phi) = \lambda^d F(\phi)$ for all $\lambda \in K$ and $\phi \in X_n$. It has weight k if $F \circ g = (\det g)^k g \circ F$, resp. $F \circ g = (\det g)^k g^{-T} \circ F$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$.

It is clear that the covariants and contravariants each form a module over the ring of invariants $K[X_n]^{G_n} = K[c_4, c_6]$.

Proposition 2.5. *Every homogeneous covariant, resp. contravariant, of degree d has weight k where $d = 1 + kn/(6 - n)$, resp. $d = -1 + kn/(6 - n)$.*

PROOF: The proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.2. $\square$

We are ready to state our main theorem.

Theorem 2.6. (i) *The covariants form a free $K[c_4, c_6]$ -module of rank 2 generated by covariants U and H of weights 0 and 2.*

(ii) *The contravariants form a free $K[c_4, c_6]$ -module of rank 2 generated by contravariants P and Q of weights 4 and 6.*

Our labelling of the covariants as U and H , and contravariants as P and Q , follows the notation used by Salmon [15, §§217-221] in the case $n = 3$. The covariant U is the identity map. We call H the Hessian since in the cases $n = 2, 3$ it is computed as the determinant of the matrix of second partial derivatives. We know of no such simple construction in the cases $n = 4, 5$.

3. THE DISCRETE INVARIANTS

We recall some classical theory from Klein's *Lectures on the icosahedron* [10].

Definition 3.1. Let Δ_n be the subgroup of PGL_2 generated by

$$\begin{aligned} n=2 & \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/8 \\ 24 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ n=3 & \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/3 \\ 6 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ n=4 & \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4 \end{pmatrix} \\ n=5 & \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 1 \\ 1 & -\varphi \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_5 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where ζ_n is a primitive n th root of unity and $\varphi = 1 + \zeta_5 + \zeta_5^4$.

For $n = 3, 4, 5$ the group Δ_n acts on $\mathbb{P}^1$ as the group of rotations of a tetrahedron, octahedron, icosahedron. Under stereographic projection the vertices of these Platonic solids are at the roots of

$$\begin{aligned} n=2 & \quad D = a(64a^2 - b^2) \\ n=3 & \quad D = -a(27a^3 + b^3) \\ n=4 & \quad D = ab(16a^4 - b^4) \\ n=5 & \quad D = ab(a^{10} - 11a^5b^5 - b^{10}). \end{aligned}$$

The midpoints of the faces and edges are at the roots of

$$(1) \quad c_4 = \frac{-1}{((\deg D)-1)^2} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial a^2} & \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial a \partial b} \\ \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial a \partial b} & \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial b^2} \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$(2) \quad c_6 = \frac{1}{\deg c_4} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial D}{\partial a} & \frac{\partial D}{\partial b} \\ \frac{\partial c_4}{\partial a} & \frac{\partial c_4}{\partial b} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Definition 3.2. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_n$ be the inverse image of Δ_n in SL_2 , and let Γ_n be the commutator subgroup of $\tilde{\Gamma}_n$. The ring of discrete invariants is

$$K[a, b]^{\Gamma_n} = \{f \in K[a, b] : f \circ \gamma = f \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma_n\}.$$

Theorem 3.3 (Klein). *The ring of discrete invariants is generated by c_4 , c_6 and D , subject only to the relation $c_4^3 - c_6^2 = 1728D^n$.*

PROOF: Since $\mathrm{char}(K) \nmid |\Gamma_n|$ this is a standard calculation. We checked the answer using MAGMA [11]. $\square$

We describe the action of $\tilde{\Gamma}_n$ on the discrete invariants.

Lemma 3.4. *There is a unique character $\chi : \tilde{\Gamma}_n \rightarrow K^*$ of order $6 - n$ such that*

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} D \circ \gamma &= \chi(\gamma)D \\ c_4 \circ \gamma &= \chi(\gamma)^2 c_4 \\ c_6 \circ \gamma &= \chi(\gamma)^3 c_6 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}_n$. Moreover $\ker(\chi) = \Gamma_n$.

PROOF: This follows by direct calculation. $\square$

4. THE HESSE FAMILY

In §2 we defined the ring of invariants $K[X_n]^{G_n}$ and in §3 we defined the ring of discrete invariants $K[a, b]^{\Gamma_n}$. We now identify $K[X_n]^{G_n}$ as a subring of $K[a, b]^{\Gamma_n}$. To do this we start by defining a linear map $u_n : K^2 \rightarrow X_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_2(a, b) &= a(x^4 + z^4) + b\left(\frac{1}{4}x^2z^2\right) \\ u_3(a, b) &= a(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) + bxyz \\ u_4(a, b) &= \begin{pmatrix} a(x_1^2 + x_3^2) - bx_2x_4 \\ a(x_2^2 + x_4^2) - bx_1x_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ u_5(a, b) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ax_1 & bx_2 & -bx_3 & -ax_4 \\ & 0 & ax_3 & bx_4 & -bx_5 \\ & & 0 & ax_5 & bx_1 \\ - & & & 0 & ax_2 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The models $u_n(a, b)$ for $a, b \in K$ are called Hesse models. Collectively they form the Hesse family. The following two propositions will be proved in §7.

Proposition 4.1. *Every non-singular model $\phi \in X_n$ is equivalent to a Hesse model.*

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{G}_n$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_n$ be the groups defined in §1 and §3.*

- (i) *There exists $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$ with $g \circ u_n = u_n$ and $\det(g) = -1$.*
- (ii) *For each $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}_n$ there exists $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$ with $g \circ u_n = u_n \circ \gamma$.*

The map $u_n : K^2 \rightarrow X_n$ induces a homomorphism of polynomial rings $u_n^* : K[X_n] \rightarrow K[a, b]; F \mapsto F \circ u_n$. By Proposition 4.2(ii) it restricts to a map

$$u_n^* : K[X_n]^{G_n} \rightarrow K[a, b]^{\Gamma_n}.$$

(Recall that G_n and Γ_n are the commutator subgroups of $\mathcal{G}_n$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_n$ respectively.)

Lemma 4.3. *The map $u_n^* : K[X_n]^{G_n} \rightarrow K[a, b]^{\Gamma_n}$ is injective.*

PROOF: Let $F \in K[X_n]^{G_n}$ be a homogeneous invariant vanishing on the Hesse family. By Proposition 4.1 it also vanishes at every non-singular $\phi \in X_n$. By Theorem 2.3 the latter are Zariski dense in X_n . It follows that F is identically zero. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *The map u_n^* takes the invariants c_4 , c_6 and Δ of Theorem 2.3 to the discrete invariants c_4 , c_6 and D^n of Theorem 3.3.*

PROOF: We compute the invariants of the generic Hesse model using the formulae and algorithms in [7, §§7,8]. This gives an alternative computational proof of Lemma 4.3. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *If $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}_n$ satisfy $g \circ u_n = u_n \circ \gamma$ then $\chi(\gamma) = (\det g)^2$.*

PROOF: The map u_n^* identifies the invariants c_4 and c_6 with the corresponding discrete invariants. Since the former have weights 4 and 6, and the latter satisfy (3), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\gamma)^2 c_4(a, b) &= (\det g)^4 c_4(a, b) \\ \chi(\gamma)^3 c_6(a, b) &= (\det g)^6 c_6(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\chi(\gamma) = (\det g)^2$. $\square$

We say that a discrete invariant is an invariant if it belongs to the image of u_n^* . The following theorem characterises the invariants among the discrete invariants, and thus serves as a prototype for our treatment of the covariants and contravariants in §6.

Theorem 4.6. *Let f be a homogeneous discrete invariant of degree d . Then f is an invariant if and only if $d = kn/(6 - n)$ for some even integer k and*

$$(4) \quad f \circ \gamma = \chi(\gamma)^{k/2} f$$

for all $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}_n$.

PROOF: Suppose that $f = F \circ u_n$ for some invariant F . Proposition 2.2 shows that since F is homogeneous of degree d it has weight k where $d = kn/(6 - n)$. We use Proposition 4.2(i) to show that k is even, and then Proposition 4.2(ii) combined with Lemma 4.5 to establish (4).

For the converse we use the description of the discrete invariants given in Theorem 3.3, namely that $K[a, b]^{\Gamma_n}$ is a free $K[c_4, c_6]$ -module of rank n with basis $1, D, \dots, D^{n-1}$. Using Lemma 3.4 we find that c_4 and c_6 satisfy the conditions required of an invariant, but D, D^2 ,

$\dots, D^{n-1}$ do not. It only remains to show that there are invariants of weights 4 and 6. This was established in Theorem 2.3. $\square$

Remark 4.7. Our use of the Hesse family in the above proof is analogous to our use of the Weierstrass family in the proof of Theorem 2.3. The advantage of the Weierstrass family is that it allows us to work without restriction on the characteristic of K . The advantage of the Hesse family is that it allows us to study the covariants and contravariants.

5. THE DISCRETE COVARIANTS

In §3 we defined subgroups $\Gamma_n \subset \mathrm{SL}_2$ for $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Definition 5.1. A discrete covariant is a Γ_n -equivariant polynomial map $p : K^2 \rightarrow K^2$. It is represented by a pair of polynomials (p_1, p_2) with $p_1, p_2 \in K[a, b]$.

The discrete covariants form a module M over the ring of discrete invariants $R = K[a, b]^{\Gamma_n} = K[D, c_4, c_6]$. There is a derivation

$$\partial : R \rightarrow M; \quad f \mapsto \left(-\frac{\partial f}{\partial b}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial a}\right)$$

and an R -bilinear alternating form

$$[\ , \] : M \times M \rightarrow R; \quad (p, q) \mapsto p_1 q_2 - p_2 q_1.$$

We write $U = (a, b)$ for the identity map.

Theorem 5.2. *The discrete covariants form a free $K[c_4, c_6]$ -module of rank $2n$ with basis $D^i U, D^i \partial D, \partial c_4, \partial c_6$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$.*

For the proof we first show that M is a free $K[c_4, c_6]$ -module. Then we show, by computing the Hilbert series, that the elements listed have the right degrees to be generators. Finally we check that our putative basis is independent.

Lemma 5.3. *M is a free $K[c_4, c_6]$ -module.*

PROOF: The proof follows the method described in [2, §4.3].

Since c_4 and c_6 are coprime they form a regular sequence in $K[a, b]$, and so $K[a, b]$ is a free $K[c_4, c_6]$ -module. The projection map

$$K[a, b]^2 \rightarrow M; \quad p \mapsto \frac{1}{|\Gamma_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} \gamma \circ p \circ \gamma^{-1}$$

shows that M is a projective $K[c_4, c_6]$ -module. By [2, Theorem 4.1.1] it is therefore a free $K[c_4, c_6]$ -module. $\square$

Lemma 5.4. *The Hilbert series of M is*

$$h_M(z) = \frac{(z^{r-1} + z^{s-1}) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (z^{it+1} + z^{it+t-1})}{(1 - z^r)(1 - z^s)}$$

where $r = \deg c_4$, $s = \deg c_6$ and $t = \deg D$.

PROOF: By Molien's theorem [2, Theorem 2.5.3] the Hilbert series of R and M are

$$h_R(z) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} \frac{1}{1 - \text{Tr}(\gamma)z + z^2}$$

and

$$h_M(z) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_n|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} \frac{\text{Tr}(\gamma)}{1 - \text{Tr}(\gamma)z + z^2}.$$

Thus

$$(1 + z^2)h_R(z) = 1 + zh_M(z).$$

But by Theorem 3.3 we already have

$$h_R(z) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} z^{it}}{(1 - z^r)(1 - z^s)}.$$

The lemma follows on noting that $(n - 1)t + 1 = r + s - 1$. □

By Lemma 5.4 the discrete covariants listed in the statement of Theorem 5.2 have the right degrees to generate M as a $K[c_4, c_6]$ -module. It remains to show that they are independent.

Lemma 5.5. *Let f be a non-zero homogeneous discrete invariant. If $\text{char}(K) \nmid \deg(f)$ then the discrete covariants U and ∂f generate a free R -module M_f with $fM \subset M_f \subset M$.*

PROOF: The module M_f is free since by Euler's identity we have

$$[U, \partial f] = (\deg f)f \neq 0.$$

It contains fM since for $p \in M$ we have

$$(5) \quad (\deg f)fp = -[\partial f, p]U + [U, p]\partial f.$$

□

In the notation of this section we may re-write (2) as

$$(6) \quad [\partial D, \partial c_4] = (\deg c_4)c_6.$$

Applying ∂ and then $[\partial D, -]$ to the relation of Theorem 3.3 we obtain

$$(7) \quad [\partial D, \partial c_6] = (\deg c_6)c_4^2.$$

Then we take $f = D$ in (5) to get

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} 3D\partial c_4 &= n(-c_6U + c_4\partial D) \\ 2D\partial c_6 &= n(-c_4^2U + c_6\partial D). \end{aligned}$$

Re-arranging using the identity $c_4^3 - c_6^2 = 1728D^n$ we find

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} 1728nD^{n-1}U &= 3c_6\partial c_4 - 2c_4\partial c_6 \\ 1728nD^{n-1}\partial D &= 3c_4^2\partial c_4 - 2c_6\partial c_6. \end{aligned}$$

We already know by Theorem 3.3 that R is a free $K[c_4, c_6]$ -module of rank n , with basis $1, D, \dots, D^{n-1}$. Taking $f = D$ in Lemma 5.5 it follows that M is a $K[c_4, c_6]$ -module of rank $2n$. Moreover the discrete covariants $D^iU, D^i\partial D$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ generate a free submodule of maximal rank. The relations (8) and (9) show that the discrete covariants listed in the statement of Theorem 5.2 enjoy the same property. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.2.

We describe the action of $\tilde{\Gamma}_n$ on the discrete covariants. The character $\chi : \tilde{\Gamma}_n \rightarrow K^*$ was defined at the end of §3.

Lemma 5.6. *If a discrete invariant f satisfies*

$$f \circ \gamma = \chi(\gamma)^r f$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$, then the discrete covariant $p = \partial f$ satisfies

$$p \circ \gamma = \chi(\gamma)^r \gamma \circ p$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$.

PROOF: This is clear. □

6. THE COVARIANTS AND CONTRAVARIANTS

We use the discrete covariants to study the covariants and contravariants, just as in §4 we used the discrete invariants to study the invariants. For $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$ we write g^T for the element obtained by transposing all constituent matrices. We recall from §2.

Definition 6.1. A polynomial map $F : X_n \rightarrow X_n$ is

- (i) a covariant if $F \circ g = g \circ F$ for all $g \in G_n$,
- (ii) a contravariant if $F \circ g = g^{-T} \circ F$ for all $g \in G_n$.

The following proposition will be proved in §7.

Proposition 6.2. *There is a subgroup $H_n \subset G_n$ such that*

- (i) $H_n = \{g \in G_n : g \circ u_n = u_n\}$
- (ii) *The image of u_n is $X_n^{H_n} = \{\phi \in X_n : g\phi = \phi \text{ for all } g \in H_n\}$.*
- (iii) *If $g \in H_n$ then $g^T \in H_n$.*

It is possible to view the contravariants as G_n -equivariant polynomial maps from X_n to its dual X_n^* . The connection is afforded by the following pairing on X_n .

Lemma 6.3. *There is a symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on X_n such that*
(i) $\langle g\phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle = \langle \phi_1, g^T \phi_2 \rangle$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in X_n$,
(ii) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate on the image of u_n .

PROOF: A suitable pairing is

$$\begin{aligned} n = 2 \quad \langle f, g \rangle &= f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)g(x, z) \\ n = 3 \quad \langle f, g \rangle &= f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)g(x, y, z) \\ n = 4 \quad \langle f, g \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^2 f_i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}\right)g_i(x_1, \dots, x_4) \\ n = 5 \quad \langle f, g \rangle &= \sum_{i < j} f_{ij}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}\right)g_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_5). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that the stated properties hold. $\square$

By Lemma 6.3(ii) there is a matrix $\varepsilon_n \in \text{GL}_2$ such that

$$(10) \quad \langle u_n(x), u_n(\varepsilon_n y) \rangle = x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1$$

for all $x, y \in K^2$. The following lemma is required for our treatment of the contravariants.

Lemma 6.4. *If $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}_n$ satisfy $g \circ u_n = u_n \circ \gamma$ then*

$$g^{-T} \circ u_n \circ \varepsilon_n = u_n \circ \varepsilon_n \circ \gamma.$$

PROOF: For $x, y \in K^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_n(x), u_n(\varepsilon_n y) \rangle &= \langle u_n(\gamma x), u_n(\varepsilon_n \gamma y) \rangle && \text{since } \tilde{\Gamma}_n \subset \text{SL}_2 \\ &= \langle g(u_n(x)), u_n(\varepsilon_n \gamma y) \rangle && \text{since } g \circ u_n = u_n \circ \gamma \\ &= \langle u_n(x), g^T(u_n(\varepsilon_n \gamma y)) \rangle && \text{by Lemma 6.3(i).} \end{aligned}$$

Since g acts on the image of u_n , Proposition 6.2(i) gives $gH_n g^{-1} = H_n$. We deduce by Proposition 6.2(iii) that $g^T H_n g^{-T} = H_n$ and hence by Proposition 6.2(ii) that g^T acts on the image of u_n . We are now done by Lemma 6.3(ii) and the above calculation. $\square$

Proposition 6.5. *Let $F : X_n \rightarrow X_n$ be a covariant, resp. contravariant. Then there is a discrete covariant f such that $F \circ u_n = u_n \circ f$, resp. $F \circ u_n = u_n \circ \varepsilon_n \circ f$. Moreover F is uniquely determined by f .*

PROOF: Proposition 6.2 shows that F acts on the image of u_n . So there is a polynomial map $f : K^2 \rightarrow K^2$ such that $F \circ u_n = u_n \circ f$, resp. $F \circ u_n = u_n \circ \varepsilon_n \circ f$. It follows by Proposition 4.2(ii), combined with Lemma 6.4 in the case F is a contravariant, that f is a discrete covariant.

If F_1 and F_2 determine the same discrete covariant f then by Proposition 4.1 they agree on all non-singular models. By Theorem 2.3 the non-singular models are Zariski dense in X_n , and from this we deduce that $F_1 = F_2$. $\square$

We say that a discrete covariant f is a covariant, resp. contravariant, if it arises as described in Proposition 6.5. We obtain the following analogue of Theorem 4.6.

Theorem 6.6. *Let f be a homogeneous discrete covariant of degree d . Then f is a covariant, resp. contravariant, if and only if $d = 1 + kn/(6 - n)$, resp. $d = -1 + kn/(6 - n)$, for some even integer k and*

$$(11) \quad f \circ \gamma = \chi(\gamma)^{k/2} \gamma \circ f$$

for all $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}_n$.

PROOF: Suppose that $F \circ u_n = u_n \circ f$, resp. $F \circ u_n = u_n \circ \varepsilon_n \circ f$, for some covariant, resp. contravariant, F . Proposition 2.5 shows that, since F is homogeneous of degree d , it has weight k where $d = 1 + kn/(6 - n)$, resp. $d = -1 + kn/(6 - n)$. In the case F is a covariant we use Proposition 4.2(i) to show that k is even, and Proposition 4.2(ii) combined with Lemma 4.5 to establish (11). In the case F is a contravariant we use Lemma 6.4 to make the necessary modifications.

For the converse we use the description of the discrete covariants given in Theorem 5.2, namely that M is a free $K[c_4, c_6]$ -module generated by $D^i U$, $D^i \partial D$, ∂c_4 , ∂c_6 for $i = 0, \dots, n - 2$. Using Lemmas 3.4 and 5.6 we find that only U and ∂D satisfy the conditions required of a covariant (with $k = 0, 2$) and only ∂c_4 and ∂c_6 satisfy the conditions required of a contravariant (with $k = 4, 6$). To complete the proofs of Theorems 2.6 and 6.6, it remains to show that there are covariants of weight 0 and 2 and contravariants of weight 4 and 6.

We construct the contravariants using a method described by Salmon [15, §220, 221] in the case $n = 3$. By Lemma 6.3 we may identify the contravariants with the space $\text{Pol}_{G_n}(X_n, X_n^*)$ of G_n -equivariant polynomial maps from X_n to its dual X_n^* . If we pick a basis $x_1, \dots, x_N$ for X_n^* then $K[X_n] = K[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ and there is a derivation

$$\delta : K[X_n]^{G_n} \rightarrow \text{Pol}_{G_n}(X_n, X_n^*)$$

given by $(\delta F)(\phi) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(\phi) x_i$. It may be checked that δ is independent of the choice of basis $x_1, \dots, x_N$. The contravariants of weights 4 and 6 are the evectants δc_4 and δc_6 of the invariants c_4 and c_6 constructed in Theorem 2.3.

The covariant of weight 0 is of course the identity map. So it only remains to show that there is a covariant of weight 2. It is clear from Definition 6.1 that the composition of two contravariants is a covariant. If $n = 2$ then the contravariants have degrees 1 and 2, and their composition is the required covariant of weight 2. Otherwise, composing the contravariant of weight 4 with itself we find that

$$f_n(c_4, c_6)U + g_n(c_4, c_6)\partial D$$

is a covariant where

$$\begin{aligned} f_3(c_4, c_6) &= 3c_4^2 & f_4(c_4, c_6) &= 81c_4^6 + 40c_4^3c_6^2 - c_6^4 \\ g_3(c_4, c_6) &= c_6 & g_4(c_4, c_6) &= 6c_4c_6(5c_4^3 - c_6^2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_5(c_4, c_6) &= 184528125c_4^{18} + 230364000c_4^{15}c_6^2 - 25697763c_4^{12}c_6^4 \\ &\quad + 4909960c_4^9c_6^6 + 44583c_4^6c_6^8 + 984c_4^3c_6^{10} - c_6^{12} \\ g_5(c_4, c_6) &= 18c_4c_6(2399625c_4^{15} - 658917c_4^{12}c_6^2 \\ &\quad + 245498c_4^9c_6^4 + 4246c_4^6c_6^6 + 205c_4^3c_6^8 - c_6^{10}). \end{aligned}$$

Since U is a covariant it follows that $g_n(c_4, c_6)\partial D$ is a covariant.

Let f be a homogeneous discrete invariant of positive degree with $D \nmid f$. We claim that if $f\partial D$ is a covariant then $f_1\partial D$ is also a covariant for some proper factor f_1 of f . To see this let $(a : b)$ be a root of f . Then $\phi = u_n(a, b)$ is non-singular since $D(a, b) \neq 0$. By [7, Lemma 4.10] the Zariski closure of the orbit of ϕ is the zero locus of an irreducible invariant F . The covariant corresponding to $f\partial D$ vanishes on the orbit of ϕ and is therefore divisible by F . Our claim follows.

Finally we check for $n = 3, 4, 5$ that $g_n(c_4, c_6)$ is not divisible by $\Delta = (c_4^3 - c_6^2)/1728$, equivalently $g_n(1, 1) \neq 0$. It follows by the claim in the last paragraph that ∂D is a covariant. $\square$

We write $U : X_n \rightarrow X_n$ for the identity map.

Definition 6.7. (i) The Hessian $H : X_n \rightarrow X_n$ is the unique covariant (of weight 2) satisfying

$$H \circ u_n = u_n \circ \partial D.$$

(ii) The contravariants $P, Q : X_n \rightarrow X_n$ are the unique contravariants (of weights 4 and 6) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^{-1}(\deg c_4)P \circ u_n &= u_n \circ \varepsilon_n \circ \partial c_4 \\ \kappa^{-1}(\deg c_6)Q \circ u_n &= u_n \circ \varepsilon_n \circ \partial c_6. \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa = 1/4, 1, 2, 5$ for $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Theorem 6.8. *Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the pairing of Lemma 6.3. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U, P \rangle &= \kappa c_4 & \langle H, P \rangle &= \kappa c_6 \\ \langle U, Q \rangle &= \kappa c_6 & \langle H, Q \rangle &= \kappa c_4^2. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: It suffices to prove this for $\phi \in X_n$ a Hesse model. Using (10) we see that the required identities are

$$\begin{aligned} [U, \partial c_4] &= (\deg c_4) c_4 & [\partial D, \partial c_4] &= (\deg c_4) c_6 \\ [U, \partial c_6] &= (\deg c_6) c_6 & [\partial D, \partial c_6] &= (\deg c_6) c_4^2. \end{aligned}$$

These were proved in §5. $\square$

7. THE HEISENBERG GROUP

In this section we prove some results postponed from §§4,6.

Definition 7.1. A genus one normal curve $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is

- (i) if $n = 2$ a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$ ramified at 4 points,
- (ii) if $n \geq 3$ a genus one curve embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ by a complete linear system of degree n .

It is well known that $E = \text{Jac}(C)$ acts on C by translation, and translation by $P \in E$ extends to an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ if and only if $P \in E[n]$.

Definition 7.2. The Heisenberg group of $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is the group of all matrices in SL_n that act on C as translation by an n -torsion point of its Jacobian. As a group it is a central extension of $E[n]$ by μ_n with commutator given by the Weil pairing $e_n : E[n] \times E[n] \rightarrow \mu_n$.

Definition 7.3. The standard Heisenberg group of degree n is the subgroup $H_n \subset \text{SL}_n$ generated by

$$\sigma_n = \xi_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta_n^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \zeta_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \tau_n = \xi_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\xi_n = 1$ for n odd, and $\xi_n = \zeta_{2n}$ for n even.

Lemma 7.4. *Let $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ be a genus one normal curve with Jacobian E . Let S, T be a basis for $E[n]$ with $e_n(S, T) = \zeta_n$. Then we can change co-ordinates on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ so that translation by S and T is given by the images of σ_n and τ_n in PGL_n . In particular $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ has Heisenberg group H_n .*

PROOF: This is standard. See for example [5, Proposition 2.3]. $\square$

Lemma 7.5. *Every non-singular model $\phi \in X_n$ defines a genus one normal curve $C_\phi \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.*

PROOF: This is [7, Proposition 5.10(i)]. $\square$

The following is an adaptation of [9, Chapter III].

Lemma 7.6. *Let $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ be a genus one normal curve with Heisenberg group H_n . If $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$ then $C = C_\phi$ for some Hesse model ϕ .*

PROOF: Case $n = 2$. We decompose X_2 as an H_2 -module and find that (up to scalars) there are exactly three binary quartics whose roots are permuted by H_2 , but do not belong to the Hesse family. These are the pairwise products of xz , $x^2 - z^2$ and $x^2 + z^2$. These quartics do not have Heisenberg group H_2 , since H_2 fails to act transitively on their roots.

Case $n = 3$. We decompose X_3 as a H_3 -module and find that (up to scalars) there are exactly eight ternary cubics that define curves fixed by H_3 , but do not belong to the Hesse family. These are

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 + \zeta_3^i y^3 + \zeta_3^{2i} z^3 & \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2 \\ x^2 y + \zeta_3^i y^2 z + \zeta_3^{2i} x z^2 & \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, 2 \\ xy^2 + \zeta_3^i y z^2 + \zeta_3^{2i} x^2 z & \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

These curves do not have Heisenberg group H_3 , since they contain fixed points for the action of H_3 modulo its centre.

Case $n = 4$. We decompose the space of quadrics in 4 variables as an H_4 -module. We find that there are exactly three 2-dimensional subspaces that define a curve fixed by H_4 , but do not belong to the Hesse family. These are spanned by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 x_2 + x_3 x_4 & \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_4, \\ x_1 x_2 - x_3 x_4 & \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 x_3 - x_1 x_4, \\ x_1^2 - x_3^2 & \quad \text{and} \quad x_2^2 - x_4^2. \end{aligned}$$

In each case the curve defined is singular.

Case $n = 5$. We take $C \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ with equations

$$ax_i^2 + bx_{i+1}x_{i+4} + cx_{i+2}x_{i+3} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$$

where all suffices are read mod 5. Since C has Heisenberg group H_5 it meets the hyperplane $\{x_1 = 0\}$ in 5 distinct points. By Riemann-Roch these points span the hyperplane. So C contains a point of the form $(0 : z_2 : z_3 : z_4 : z_5)$ with each z_i non-zero. A short calculation then gives $a^2 + bc = 0$ and so $C = C_\phi$ where $\phi = u_5(a, b)$. $\square$

Lemma 7.7. *Let $\phi, \phi' \in X_n$ be non-singular models. If $C_\phi = C_{\phi'}$ then ϕ and ϕ' are equivalent. Moreover if $n = 4, 5$ and $\phi' = [A, I_n]\phi$ then A is uniquely determined, resp. uniquely determined up to sign.*

PROOF: This is clear for $n = 2, 3, 4$. The case $n = 5$ is treated in [6]. $\square$

Combining the last four lemmas shows that every non-singular model is equivalent to a Hesse model.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.1: Let $\phi \in X_n$ be a non-singular model. Then $C_\phi \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a genus one normal curve and by Lemma 7.4 we may assume it has Heisenberg group H_n . Then Lemma 7.6 shows that $C_\phi = C_{\phi'}$ for some Hesse model ϕ' and finally Lemma 7.7 shows that ϕ and ϕ' are equivalent. $\square$

If $n = 2, 3$ then H_n is already a subgroup of $G_n = \text{SL}_n$. If $n = 4, 5$ we identify H_n as a subgroup of G_n via $\sigma_4 \mapsto [\sigma_2, \sigma_4]$, $\tau_4 \mapsto [\tau_2, \tau_4]$ and $\sigma_5 \mapsto [\zeta_5^3 \sigma_5^4, \sigma_5]$, $\tau_5 \mapsto [\tau_5^3, \tau_5]$.

Lemma 7.8. *Let $u_n : K^2 \rightarrow X_n$ be the linear map defining the Hesse family. Then $g \circ u_n = u_n$ for all $g \in H_n$.*

PROOF: This is checked by direct calculation. $\square$

Lemma 7.9. *Let $\phi \in X_n$ be a non-singular Hesse model. Then C_ϕ has Heisenberg group H_n and $H_n = \{g \in G_n : g\phi = \phi\}$.*

PROOF: In view of the last two lemmas, it suffices to show that if $g \in G_n$ with $g\phi = \phi$ then the automorphism γ of C_ϕ induced by g is a translation map. By [7, Proposition 5.19] we have $\gamma^* \omega_\phi = \omega_\phi$. So this follows from [7, Lemma 2.4]. $\square$

Next we show that $H_n \subset G_n$ has the properties stated in §6.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 6.2: (i) We must show that $H_n = \{g \in G_n : g \circ u_n = u_n\}$. This follows from Lemmas 7.8 and 7.9.

(ii) By Lemma 7.8 we have $\text{im}(u_n) \subset X_n^{H_n}$. We prove equality by showing that $\dim(X_n^{H_n}) = 2$. The character of X_n as a representation of H_n is constant on the centre of H_n and elsewhere takes value $\xi_n = 1, 1, 0$ for $n = 2, 3, 5$. Thus

$$\dim(X_n^{H_n}) = \frac{1}{n^3}(n \dim X_n + (n^3 - n)\xi_n) = 2.$$

The case $n = 4$ is similar.

(iii) It is clear from the definition that if $g \in H_n$ then $g^T \in H_n$. $\square$

We prepare for the proof of Proposition 4.2 by describing the normaliser of H_n , first viewing it as a subgroup of GL_n and then as a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_n$.

Lemma 7.10. *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Theta_n \longrightarrow N_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(H_n) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

where $\Theta_n \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$ is generated by H_n and the scalar matrices.

PROOF: Let $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n$ with $gH_ng^{-1} = H_n$. Writing $\propto$ for equality in PGL_n we have

$$\begin{aligned} g \sigma_n g^{-1} &\propto \sigma_n^a \tau_n^c \\ g \tau_n g^{-1} &\propto \sigma_n^b \tau_n^d \end{aligned}$$

for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. We define $\pi(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. It is easy to check that π is a group homomorphism with kernel Θ_n . Then Lemma 7.4 shows that $\mathrm{im}(\pi) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. $\square$

Lemma 7.11. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ be a genus one normal curve with Heisenberg group H_n and $j(C) \neq 0, 1728$. If $g \in N_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(H_n)$ acts on C then $\pi(g) = \pm I_2$.*

PROOF: The translation maps identify $E = \mathrm{Jac}(C)$ as a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$. Conjugation by g acts on $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ and hence on E . But the condition on the j -invariant ensures that the only automorphisms of E are $[\pm 1]$. $\square$

Lemma 7.12. *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Theta'_n \longrightarrow N_{\mathcal{G}_n}(H_n) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\Theta'_n \subset \mathcal{G}_n$ is generated by H_n and the centre of $\mathcal{G}_n$.

PROOF: If $n = 2, 3$ then $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathrm{GL}_n$ and we are already done by Lemma 7.10. If $n = 4, 5$ then $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathrm{GL}_m \times \mathrm{GL}_n$ where $m = 2, 5$. Projection onto the second factor gives a map $\iota : N_{\mathcal{G}_n}(H_n) \rightarrow N_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(H_n)$ whose kernel is contained in the centre of $\mathcal{G}_n$. We will be done by Lemma 7.10 once we show that ι is surjective.

Let $B \in \mathrm{GL}_n$ with $BH_nB^{-1} = H_n$ and let $\phi \in X_n$ be a non-singular Hesse model. We know by Lemma 7.9 that C_ϕ has Heisenberg group H_n . If $\phi' = [I_m, B]\phi$ then $C_{\phi'}$ also has Heisenberg group H_n . So by Lemmas 7.6 and 7.7 there is a Hesse model ϕ'' with $\phi'' = [A, I_n]\phi'$ for some $A \in \mathrm{GL}_m$. Putting $g = [A, B]$ we have $\phi'' = g\phi$. Finally Lemma 7.9 shows that $gH_ng^{-1} = H_n$. $\square$

Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. By Lemma 7.12 there exists $g \in N_{\mathcal{G}_n}(H_n)$ with $\pi(g) = \alpha$. Proposition 6.2(ii) shows that g acts on the image of u_n , and therefore $g \circ u_n = u_n \circ \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2$. Sending α to the class of γ defines a group homomorphism

$$\nu : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2.$$

It is well defined by Lemmas 7.8 and 7.12. The subgroup $\Delta_n \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2$ was defined in §3.

Lemma 7.13. *The map ν has kernel $\{\pm I_2\}$ and image Δ_n .*

PROOF: It is possible to prove the lemma by brute force calculation. Here is an alternative. First we use Lemma 7.11 to show that the kernel of ν is contained in $\{\pm I_2\}$. Then by Theorem 2.3(ii) and Lemma 4.4 the image of ν permutes the roots of D . Splitting into the cases $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$ it is easy to check that Δ_n is the full group of such automorphisms and $|\Delta_n| = |\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})|$. The lemma follows by counting. $\square$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.2: (i) One method is simply to write down a suitable element in each of the cases $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$. Here is an alternative. Let $(a : b)$ be a point on $\mathbb{P}^1$ that is fixed by no non-trivial element of Δ_n . Then $\phi = u_n(a, b)$ is a non-singular Hesse model. We claim that there exists $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$ with $g\phi = \phi$ and $\det g = -1$. To prove this we first use [7, Proposition 4.6] to reduce to the case of a Weierstrass model, and then take $g = \gamma_n([-1; 0, 0, 0])$ in [7, Proposition 4.7]. By Lemma 7.9 we have $gH_ng^{-1} = H_n$ and so $g \circ u_n = u_n \circ \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2$. The image of γ in PGL_2 permutes the roots of D and hence belongs to Δ_n . Our choice of $(a : b)$ now forces $\gamma = I_2$ as required.

(ii) This is immediate from Lemma 7.13. $\square$

Remark 7.14. It is possible to interpret $\nu : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_2$ as describing the automorphisms of $X(n) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ obtained by relabelling the n -torsion of the elliptic curves parametrised by $Y(n)$.

Remark 7.15. By Definition 3.2 and Lemma 7.13 we see that both $\tilde{\Gamma}_n$ and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ are central extensions of Δ_n by $\{\pm 1\}$. One might guess that $\tilde{\Gamma}_n \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. This is true for $n = 3, 5$, but false for $n = 2, 4$.

8. THE HESSE POLYNOMIALS

The Hessian $H : X_n \rightarrow X_n$ was defined in §6.

Lemma 8.1. *If $\phi \in X_n$ is non-singular then the subspace of X_n fixed by the stabiliser of ϕ in G_n is spanned by $U(\phi) = \phi$ and $H(\phi)$.*

PROOF: By Proposition 4.1 it suffices to prove the lemma for ϕ a Hesse model. Then by Lemma 7.9 the stabiliser is H_n and by Proposition 6.2(ii) the fixed subspace is the Hesse family. Writing $\phi = u_n(a, b)$ it only remains to check that (a, b) and $(-\frac{\partial D}{\partial b}, \frac{\partial D}{\partial a})$ are linearly independent. Since $D(a, b)^n = \Delta(\phi) \neq 0$ this is clear. $\square$

The pencil spanned by U and H has the following interpretation.

Theorem 8.2. *Let $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ be a genus one normal curve of degree $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$. Then $C = C_\phi$ for some $\phi \in X_n$ and*

(i) *If $\phi' = \lambda\phi + \mu H(\phi)$ is non-singular then $C_{\phi'} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ has the same Heisenberg group as $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.*

(ii) *If $C' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a genus one normal curve with the same Heisenberg group as $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ then $C' = C_{\phi'}$ for some $\phi' = \lambda\phi + \mu H(\phi)$.*

PROOF: The existence of ϕ is clear for $n = 2, 3, 4$. The case $n = 5$ is treated in [6].

(i) By Proposition 4.1 we may assume that ϕ is a Hesse model. Since the Hessian H acts on the Hesse family, we are done by Lemma 7.9.

(ii) Again we may assume that ϕ is a Hesse model. Then Lemma 7.6 gives $C' = C_{\phi'}$ for some Hesse model ϕ' . Since $\phi, \phi' \in X_n$ both have stabiliser $H_n \subset G_n$, we are done by Lemma 8.1. $\square$

An arithmetic application of Theorem 8.2 is that, in the terminology of Mazur [12], we can compute both first and second twists of the universal family above $X(n)$. We plan to explain this further in a subsequent article. The first twists were previously computed by Rubin and Silverberg [13], [14], [16]. We recover their formulae in §9.

Lemma 8.3. *There are polynomials $f(\lambda, \mu)$ and $g(\lambda, \mu)$ with coefficients in $K[c_4, c_6]$ such that*

$$H(\lambda U + \mu H) = f(\lambda, \mu)U + g(\lambda, \mu)H.$$

PROOF: Writing $H(\lambda U + \mu H) = \sum F_{ij} \lambda^i \mu^j$ it is clear that the F_{ij} are covariants. We are done by Theorem 2.6(i). $\square$

We compute the polynomials $f(\lambda, \mu)$ and $g(\lambda, \mu)$ by working entirely with the Hesse family. The case $n = 3$ is classical: see [8] or [15]. It turns out (see Theorem 8.5) that $f(\lambda, \mu)$ and $g(\lambda, \mu)$ are scalar multiples of the partial derivatives of

$$\mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu) = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda & \mu \\ f(\lambda, \mu) & g(\lambda, \mu) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Lemma 8.4. *There are polynomials $\mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu)$, $\mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu)$ and $\mathbf{c}_6(\lambda, \mu)$ with coefficients in $K[c_4, c_6]$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu) &= D(\lambda a - \mu \frac{\partial D}{\partial b}, \lambda b + \mu \frac{\partial D}{\partial a}) / D(a, b) \\ \mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu) &= c_4(\lambda a - \mu \frac{\partial D}{\partial b}, \lambda b + \mu \frac{\partial D}{\partial a}) \\ \mathbf{c}_6(\lambda, \mu) &= c_6(\lambda a - \mu \frac{\partial D}{\partial b}, \lambda b + \mu \frac{\partial D}{\partial a}).\end{aligned}$$

PROOF: The coefficients of $\mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu)$ belong to $K[a, b]$ since if $D(a, b) = 0$ then $(a : b) = (-\frac{\partial D}{\partial b} : \frac{\partial D}{\partial a})$. The same is already clear for $\mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu)$ and $\mathbf{c}_6(\lambda, \mu)$. We must show that the coefficients belong to $K[c_4, c_6]$.

Let $r = \deg c_4 = 4n/(6-n)$. Putting $\mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{j=0}^r f_j \lambda^{r-j} \mu^j$ we find that $f_j \in K[a, b]$ has degree $2n(2+j)/(6-n)$ and satisfies $f_j \circ \gamma = \chi^{2+j}(\gamma) f_j$ for all $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}_n$. So f_j is a discrete invariant satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.6. It therefore belongs to $K[c_4, c_6]$. The other cases are similar. $\square$

We call $\mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu)$, $\mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu)$ and $\mathbf{c}_6(\lambda, \mu)$ the Hesse polynomials. There is no difficulty working them out from the description in Lemma 8.4. In the cases $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu) &= \lambda^3 - 3c_4\lambda\mu^2 - 2c_6\mu^3 \\ \mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu) &= \lambda^4 - 6c_4\lambda^2\mu^2 - 8c_6\lambda\mu^3 - 3c_4^2\mu^4 \\ \mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu) &= \lambda^6 - 15c_4\lambda^4\mu^2 - 40c_6\lambda^3\mu^3 - 45c_4^2\lambda^2\mu^4 \\ &\quad - 24c_4c_6\lambda\mu^5 + (27c_4^3 - 32c_6^2)\mu^6 \\ \mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu) &= \lambda^{12} - 66c_4\lambda^{10}\mu^2 - 440c_6\lambda^9\mu^3 - 1485c_4^2\lambda^8\mu^4 \\ &\quad - 3168c_4c_6\lambda^7\mu^5 + (5940c_4^3 - 10560c_6^2)\lambda^6\mu^6 - 4752c_4^2c_6\lambda^5\mu^7 \\ &\quad - (66825c_4^4 - 63360c_4c_6^2)\lambda^4\mu^8 - (142560c_4^3c_6 - 140800c_6^3)\lambda^3\mu^9 \\ &\quad - (133650c_4^5 - 133056c_4^2c_6^2)\lambda^2\mu^{10} - (61560c_4^4c_6 - 61440c_4c_6^3)\lambda\mu^{11} \\ &\quad + (91125c_4^6 - 193536c_4^3c_6^2 + 102400c_6^4)\mu^{12}.\end{aligned}$$

Using (1) and (2) we then have

$$\mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{-1}{(\deg D)^2((\deg D)-1)^2} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{D}}{\partial \lambda^2}(\lambda, \mu) & \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{D}}{\partial \lambda \partial \mu}(\lambda, \mu) \\ \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{D}}{\partial \lambda \partial \mu}(\lambda, \mu) & \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{D}}{\partial \mu^2}(\lambda, \mu) \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{c}_6(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{\deg D \deg c_4} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, \mu) & \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial \mu}(\lambda, \mu) \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}_4}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, \mu) & \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}_4}{\partial \mu}(\lambda, \mu) \end{vmatrix}.$$

The Hesse polynomials are related by

$$(12) \quad \mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu)^3 - \mathbf{c}_6(\lambda, \mu)^2 = (c_4^3 - c_6^2) \mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu)^n.$$

Theorem 8.5. *There are identities*

$$\begin{aligned} c_4(\lambda U + \mu H) &= \mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu) \\ c_6(\lambda U + \mu H) &= \mathbf{c}_6(\lambda, \mu) \\ (\deg D)H(\lambda U + \mu H) &= -\frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial \mu}(\lambda, \mu)U + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, \mu)H. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: As usual it suffices to check these relations on the Hesse family. Each is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 8.4. $\square$

Remark 8.6. We have $\Delta(\lambda U + \mu H) = \Delta \mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu)^n$. So the pencil spanned by a non-singular model and its Hessian has singular fibres at the roots of $\mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu) = 0$. These may also be characterised as the fibres whose Hessian is a scalar multiple of the original model.

9. FAMILIES OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

In this section only, we drop our assumption that K is algebraically closed, but continue to assume that K is perfect. It is clear that the invariants c_4 and c_6 and Hessian H are all defined over K .

Theorem 9.1. *Let $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$. If $\phi \in X_n$ is a non-singular model defined over K then C_ϕ is a smooth curve of genus one defined over K with Jacobian*

$$y^2 = x^3 - 27c_4(\phi)x - 54c_6(\phi).$$

PROOF: This is [7, Theorem 4.4(iii)]. The formula for the Jacobian is due to Weil [17] in the cases $n = 2, 3$. We refer to [1] for a treatment of the cases $n = 2, 3, 4$. $\square$

Definition 9.2. Elliptic curves E and E' defined over K are n -congruent if there is an isomorphism of Galois modules $E[n] \cong E'[n]$ that respects the Weil pairing.

Lemma 9.3. *Let E and E' be n -congruent elliptic curves over K . Let $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ be a genus one normal curve defined over K with Jacobian E . Then there is a genus one normal curve $C' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ defined over K with Jacobian E' and with the same Heisenberg group as $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.*

PROOF: Let $\Theta \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$ be generated by the Heisenberg group of $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ together with the scalar matrices. In the terminology of [3, §1.6], Θ is a theta group for $E[n] = E'[n]$ and therefore the twist of $\Theta_{E'}$ by some $\xi \in H^1(K, E'[n])$. We are done by [3, Proposition 5.2]. $\square$

Theorem 9.4. *Let $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$. Let E be an elliptic curve over K ,*

$$y^2 = x^3 - 27c_4x - 54c_6,$$

and let $E_{\lambda,\mu}$ be the family of curves

$$y^2 = x^3 - 27\mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu)x - 54\mathbf{c}_6(\lambda, \mu)$$

where the coefficients of the Hesse polynomials $\mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu)$ and $\mathbf{c}_6(\lambda, \mu)$ are evaluated at $c_4, c_6 \in K$. Then an elliptic curve E' over K is n -congruent to E if and only if it is isomorphic over K to $E_{\lambda,\mu}$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in K$.

PROOF: Let $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ be the genus one normal curve determined by the complete linear system $|n.0|$. It is defined by some $\phi \in X_n$ with invariants c_4 and c_6 .

(i) Suppose that $\phi' = \lambda\phi + \mu H(\phi)$ is non-singular. By Theorem 8.2(i) the genus one normal curves $C_\phi \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and $C_{\phi'} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ have the same Heisenberg group. By Theorems 8.5 and 9.1 their Jacobians are E and $E_{\lambda,\mu}$. It follows by Definition 7.2 that E and $E_{\lambda,\mu}$ are n -congruent.

(ii) By Lemma 9.3 there is a genus one normal curve $C' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ with Jacobian E' and the same Heisenberg group as $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Then Theorem 8.2(ii) shows that $C' = C_{\phi'}$ for some $\phi' = \lambda\phi + \mu H(\phi)$. Since C' is defined over K we may arrange that $\lambda, \mu \in K$. Taking Jacobians gives $E' \cong E_{\lambda,\mu}$. $\square$

If we split into the cases $c_4c_6 \neq 0$, $c_4 = 0$, $c_6 = 0$, then Theorem 9.4 reduces to formulae obtained by Rubin and Silverberg [13], [14], [16]. To explain the relationship in the case $c_4c_6 \neq 0$ we write

$$H_1 = \frac{-c_6^2 U + c_4 c_6 H}{c_4^3 - c_6^2}.$$

Lemma 9.5. *There are polynomials $\alpha(J, t)$ and $\beta(J, t)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} c_4(U + tH_1) &= \mathbf{c}_4\left(1 - \frac{c_6^2}{c_4^3 - c_6^2}t, \frac{c_4 c_6}{c_4^3 - c_6^2}t\right) = \alpha(J, t)c_4 \\ c_6(U + tH_1) &= \mathbf{c}_6\left(1 - \frac{c_6^2}{c_4^3 - c_6^2}t, \frac{c_4 c_6}{c_4^3 - c_6^2}t\right) = \beta(J, t)c_6 \end{aligned}$$

where $J = c_4^3/(c_4^3 - c_6^2)$.

PROOF: The coefficients of $c_4(U + tH_1)/c_4$ and $c_6(U + tH_1)/c_6$ are weight zero elements of $K[c_4, c_6, \Delta^{-1}]$ and therefore polynomials in J . $\square$

Corollary 9.6. *Let $n = 3, 4, 5$. Let E be an elliptic curve over K ,*

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b,$$

and let E_t be the family of curves

$$y^2 = x^3 + \alpha(J, t)ax + \beta(J, t)b$$

where $J = j(E)/1728 = 4a^3/(4a^3 + 27b^2)$.

(i) *Every elliptic curve E_t over K with $t \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ is n -congruent to E .*

(ii) If $j(E) \neq 0, 1728$ then every elliptic curve E' over K , that is n -congruent to E , is isomorphic over K to E_t for some $t \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$.

PROOF: This follows from Theorem 9.4 and Lemma 9.5. We assume $n = 3, 4, 5$ so that $E_{\lambda, \mu}$ is determined up to isomorphism by the ratio $(\lambda : \mu) \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$. (This is false for $n = 2$.) The condition $j(E) \neq 0, 1728$ is required so that the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{-c_6^2}{c_4^3 - c_6^2} \\ 0 & \frac{c_4 c_6}{c_4^3 - c_6^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

is non-singular. $\square$

The Rubin–Silverberg polynomials $\alpha(J, t)$ and $\beta(J, t)$ for $n = 3, 5, 4, 2$ are written out in [13, Theorem 4.1 and Appendix], [16], [14]. We have contributed these formulae to MAGMA [11, Version 2.13].

As a variant of Definition 9.2 we say that E and E' are reverse n -congruent if there is an isomorphism of Galois modules $\psi : E[n] \cong E'[n]$ that reverses the Weil pairing, *i.e.*

$$e_n(\psi(S), \psi(T)) = e_n(S, T)^{-1}$$

for all $S, T \in E[n]$. The analogue of Theorem 9.4 is given by replacing the Hesse polynomials $\mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu)$ and $\mathbf{c}_6(\lambda, \mu)$ by their “duals” $\tau^{-2}\mathbf{c}_4(\xi, \eta)$ and $\tau^{-3}\mathbf{c}_6(\xi, \eta)$ defined in the next section. If $n = 2$ or $n = 5$ then n -congruence and reverse n -congruence are the same (since -1 is a square mod n) and the families obtained are isomorphic. If $n = 4$ then the only change is that we take the quadratic twist by Δ . The analogue of Corollary 9.6 for reverse 3-congruence holds for the family of curves

$$y^2 = x^3 - 4\gamma(J, t)Jax - 8\beta(J, t)J^2b$$

where $\gamma(J, t) \in \mathbb{Z}[J, t]$ satisfies $\gamma(J, t)^3 = \alpha(J, t)^3 J + \beta(J, t)^2(1 - J)$.

10. THE DUAL HESSE POLYNOMIALS

In §8 we worked only with the invariants c_4 and c_6 and covariants U and H . If we bring the contravariants P and Q into play then there are many more identities to consider. These may already be found in [15] in the case $n = 3$.

Theorem 10.1. *There are identities*

$$\begin{aligned} (\deg c_4)P(\lambda U + \mu H) &= f_4(\lambda, \mu)P + g_4(\lambda, \mu)Q \\ (\deg c_6)Q(\lambda U + \mu H) &= f_6(\lambda, \mu)P + g_6(\lambda, \mu)Q \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_4(\lambda, \mu) & f_6(\lambda, \mu) \\ g_4(\lambda, \mu) & g_6(\lambda, \mu) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_4 & c_6 \\ c_6 & c_4^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial c_4}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, \mu) & \frac{\partial c_6}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, \mu) \\ \frac{\partial c_4}{\partial \mu}(\lambda, \mu) & \frac{\partial c_6}{\partial \mu}(\lambda, \mu) \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROOF: Reducing to the Hesse family we must show that

$$(\deg \Delta) \begin{pmatrix} \partial c_4(\lambda U + \mu \partial D) \\ \partial c_6(\lambda U + \mu \partial D) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_4(\lambda, \mu) & g_4(\lambda, \mu) \\ f_6(\lambda, \mu) & g_6(\lambda, \mu) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3\partial c_4 \\ 2\partial c_6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This follows from

$$(\deg D)D \begin{pmatrix} \partial c_4(\lambda U + \mu \partial D) \\ \partial c_6(\lambda U + \mu \partial D) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial c_4}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, \mu) & \frac{\partial c_4}{\partial \mu}(\lambda, \mu) \\ \frac{\partial c_6}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda, \mu) & \frac{\partial c_6}{\partial \mu}(\lambda, \mu) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial D \\ -U \end{pmatrix}$$

which is obtained by differentiating the definition in Lemma 8.4, and

$$1728nD^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} \partial D \\ -U \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_4^2 & -c_6 \\ -c_6 & c_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3\partial c_4 \\ 2\partial c_6 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is a restatement of (9). $\square$

The dual Hesse polynomials $\mathfrak{D}(\xi, \eta)$, $\mathfrak{c}_4(\xi, \eta)$, $\mathfrak{c}_6(\xi, \eta)$ are defined in terms of the Hesse polynomials $\mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu)$, $\mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu)$, $\mathbf{c}_6(\lambda, \mu)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} n=2 \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu) &= -(c_4^3 - c_6^2) \mathfrak{c}_6(\xi, \eta) \\ \mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu) &= (c_4^3 - c_6^2) \mathfrak{c}_4(\xi, \eta) \\ \mathbf{c}_6(\lambda, \mu) &= (c_4^3 - c_6^2)^2 \mathfrak{D}(\xi, \eta) \end{aligned} \\ n=3 \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu) &= -(c_4^3 - c_6^2) \mathfrak{c}_4(\xi, \eta) \\ \mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu) &= -(c_4^3 - c_6^2)^2 \mathfrak{D}(\xi, \eta) \\ \mathbf{c}_6(\lambda, \mu) &= -(c_4^3 - c_6^2)^2 \mathfrak{c}_6(\xi, \eta) \end{aligned} \\ n=4 \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu) &= (c_4^3 - c_6^2)^2 \mathfrak{D}(\xi, \eta) \\ \mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu) &= (c_4^3 - c_6^2)^2 \mathfrak{c}_4(\xi, \eta) \\ \mathbf{c}_6(\lambda, \mu) &= (c_4^3 - c_6^2)^3 \mathfrak{c}_6(\xi, \eta) \end{aligned} \\ n=5 \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(\lambda, \mu) &= (c_4^3 - c_6^2)^3 \mathfrak{D}(\xi, \eta) \\ \mathbf{c}_4(\lambda, \mu) &= (c_4^3 - c_6^2)^4 \mathfrak{c}_4(\xi, \eta) \\ \mathbf{c}_6(\lambda, \mu) &= (c_4^3 - c_6^2)^6 \mathfrak{c}_6(\xi, \eta) \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = c_6\xi + c_4^2\eta$ and $\mu = -c_4\xi - c_6\eta$. By (12) we have

$$\mathfrak{c}_4(\xi, \eta)^3 - \mathfrak{c}_6(\xi, \eta)^2 = (c_4^3 - c_6^2)^{n-1} \mathfrak{D}(\xi, \eta)^n.$$

Theorem 10.2. *There are identities*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^2 c_4(\xi P + \eta Q) &= \mathfrak{c}_4(\xi, \eta) \\ \tau^3 c_6(\xi P + \eta Q) &= \mathfrak{c}_6(\xi, \eta) \\ \tau(\deg D)H(\xi P + \eta Q) &= -\frac{\partial \mathfrak{D}}{\partial \eta}(\xi, \eta)P + \frac{\partial \mathfrak{D}}{\partial \xi}(\xi, \eta)Q \\ \tau^2(\deg c_4)P(\xi P + \eta Q) &= f_4(\xi, \eta)U + g_4(\xi, \eta)H \\ \tau^3(\deg c_6)Q(\xi P + \eta Q) &= f_6(\xi, \eta)U + g_6(\xi, \eta)H \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = 1, 2, 12, 12^4$ for $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_4(\xi, \eta) & f_6(\xi, \eta) \\ g_4(\xi, \eta) & g_6(\xi, \eta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_4 & c_6 \\ c_6 & c_4^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial c_4}{\partial \xi}(\xi, \eta) & \frac{\partial c_6}{\partial \xi}(\xi, \eta) \\ \frac{\partial c_4}{\partial \eta}(\xi, \eta) & \frac{\partial c_6}{\partial \eta}(\xi, \eta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROOF: Again it suffices to check these identities on the Hesse family. We did this by direct computation using **MAGMA** [11]. $\square$

In the case $n = 2$, the Hesse polynomials and dual Hesse polynomials are the same.

11. FORMULAE

In the cases $n = 2, 3, 4$ we give formulae for the Hessian H and for the contravariants P and Q . Theorem 6.8 then gives a practical method for computing the invariants. Alternatively we can compute the invariants using the formulae in [7, §7].

11.1. Formulae in the case $n = 2$. The binary quartic

$$f(x, z) = ax^4 + bx^3z + cx^2z^2 + dxz^3 + ez^4$$

has Hessian

$$\begin{aligned} H &= (1/3) \times \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (8ac - 3b^2)x^4 + (24ad - 4bc)x^3z + (48ae + 6bd - 4c^2)x^2z^2 \\ &\quad + (24be - 4cd)xz^3 + (8ce - 3d^2)z^4. \end{aligned}$$

and contravariants

$$\begin{aligned} P &= ex^4 - dx^3z + cx^2z^2 - bxz^3 + az^4 \\ Q &= (8ce - 3d^2)x^4 - (24be - 4cd)x^3z + (48ae + 6bd - 4c^2)x^2z^2 \\ &\quad - (24ad - 4bc)xz^3 + (8ac - 3b^2)z^4. \end{aligned}$$

The covariants and contravariants are closely related, the reason being that X_2 is isomorphic to its dual X_2^* as a $G_2 = \mathrm{SL}_2$ -module.

11.2. Formulae in the case $n = 3$. The ternary cubic $U = U(x, y, z)$ has Hessian

$$H = (-1/2) \times \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x \partial z} \\ \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y^2} & \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y \partial z} \\ \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x \partial z} & \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y \partial z} & \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial z^2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

The contravariant P , called in [15] the Caylean, is given by

$$P = (-1/xyz) \times \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(0, z, -y) & \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(0, z, -y) & \frac{\partial U}{\partial z}(0, z, -y) \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(-z, 0, x) & \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(-z, 0, x) & \frac{\partial U}{\partial z}(-z, 0, x) \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(y, -x, 0) & \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}(y, -x, 0) & \frac{\partial U}{\partial z}(y, -x, 0) \end{vmatrix}.$$

The contravariant Q may be computed from the coefficient of $\lambda^2\mu$ in the first identity of Theorem 10.1, which in this case reads

$$P(\lambda U + \mu H) = (\lambda^3 + 3c_4\lambda\mu^2 + 4c_6\mu^3)P + 3(\lambda^2\mu - c_4\mu^3)Q.$$

11.3. Formulae in the case $n = 4$. We identify a genus one model of degree 4 with a pair of 4×4 symmetric matrices. Explicitly

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$q_1(x_1, \dots, x_4) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} \quad \text{and} \quad q_2(x_1, \dots, x_4) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T B \mathbf{x}.$$

In the classical literature, as surveyed in [1], the covariants and contravariants considered are SL_4 -equivariant maps from X_4 to a space of quadrics. In this setting the invariants a, b, c, d, e , contravariants S_0, S_1, S_2, S_3 and covariants A, T_1, T_2, B are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \det(sA + tB) &= as^4 + bs^3t + cs^2t^2 + dst^3 + et^4 \\ \text{adj}(sA + tB) &= S_0s^3 + S_1s^2t + S_2st^2 + S_3t^3 \\ \text{adj}(s(\text{adj } A) + t(\text{adj } B)) &= a^2As^3 + aT_1s^2t + eT_2st^2 + e^2Bt^3. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of these, the Hessian is

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 6T_2 - cA - 6bB \\ 6T_1 - cB - 6dA \end{pmatrix}$$

and the contravariants are

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 6eS_0 - 3dS_1 + cS_2 - 3bS_3 \\ -3dS_0 + cS_1 - 3bS_2 + 6aS_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} (12ce - 18d^2)S_0 + (-18be + 3cd)S_1 + (12ae + 6bd - c^2)S_2 + (-18ad + 3bc)S_3 \\ (-18be + 3cd)S_0 + (12ae + 6bd - c^2)S_1 + (-18ad + 3bc)S_2 + (12ac - 18b^2)S_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

12. AN EVALUATION ALGORITHM

In the case $n = 5$ the invariants c_4 and c_6 are homogeneous polynomials of degrees 20 and 30 in 50 variables. They are therefore too large to compute as explicit polynomials. Nonetheless we have found a practical algorithm for evaluating them (see [7, §8]). The Hessian $H : X_5 \rightarrow X_5$ is a 50-tuple of homogeneous polynomials of degree 11 in 50 variables. Rather than attempt to compute these polynomials, we again prefer to give an evaluation algorithm.

We identify $X_5 = \wedge^2 V \otimes W$ where V and W are 5-dimensional vector spaces. Explicitly

$$(\phi_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_5))_{i,j=1,\dots,5} \equiv \sum_{i < j} (v_i \wedge v_j) \otimes \phi_{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_5)$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_5$ and $x_1, \dots, x_5$ are fixed bases for V and W . The action of $\mathcal{G}_5 = \mathrm{GL}(V) \times \mathrm{GL}(W)$ is the natural one. The covariants and contravariants considered so far are the special cases $Y = X_5$ and $Y = X_5^*$ of the following more general definition.

Definition 12.1. Let (ρ, Y) be a rational representation of $\mathcal{G}_5$. A covariant is a polynomial map $F : \wedge^2 V \otimes W \rightarrow Y$ such that $F \circ g = \rho(g) \circ F$ for all $g \in G_5$.

The 4×4 Pfaffians of $\phi \in X_5$ are quadrics $p_1, \dots, p_5$ satisfying

$$\phi \wedge \phi \wedge v_i = p_i(x_1, \dots, x_5) v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_5.$$

We define covariants

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 : \wedge^2 V \otimes W &\rightarrow V^* \otimes S^2 W; & \phi &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^5 v_i^* \otimes p_i(x_1, \dots, x_5) \\ Q_6 : \wedge^2 V \otimes W &\rightarrow S^2 V \otimes W; & \phi &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^5 q_i(v_1, \dots, v_5) \otimes x_i. \\ R_{10} : \wedge^2 V \otimes W &\rightarrow S^5 V^*; & \phi &\mapsto \det\left(\sum_{k=1}^5 \frac{\partial^2 p_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} v_k^*\right) \\ S_{10} : \wedge^2 V \otimes W &\rightarrow S^5 W; & \phi &\mapsto \det\left(\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_j}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where the auxiliary quadrics q_i satisfy

$$(13) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} S_{10}(\phi) = q_i(p_1, \dots, p_5).$$

The proof that Q_6 exists, and is uniquely determined by (13), was given in [7, §8]. We write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the contraction

$$\begin{aligned} S^a V \times S^{a+b} V^* &\rightarrow S^b V^* \\ (f(v_1, \dots, v_5), g(v_1^*, \dots, v_5^*)) &\mapsto f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_1^*}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial v_5^*}\right) g(v_1^*, \dots, v_5^*) \end{aligned}$$

and identify $X_5 = \wedge^2 V \otimes W$ with the space of 10×5 matrices via

$$\sum_{i < j} v_i \wedge v_j \sum_k a_{ijk} x_k \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a_{121} & a_{122} & \cdots & a_{125} \\ a_{131} & a_{132} & \cdots & a_{135} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{451} & a_{452} & \cdots & a_{455} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 12.2. *The Hessian $H : X_5 \rightarrow X_5$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 \circ H &= 4c_4 P_2 - \frac{3}{16} \langle Q_6, \langle Q_6, R_{10} \rangle \rangle \\ \det(U; H) &= 12^5 \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

These conditions uniquely determine $H(\phi)$ for $\phi \in X_5$ non-singular.

PROOF: It suffices to check these identities for ϕ a Hesse model. We did this by direct calculation. If $\phi \in X_5$ is non-singular then $H(\phi)$ is equivalent to a Hesse model, and therefore defines a curve. So for the final statement all we need to know is that if $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in X_5$ each define a curve and $P_2(\phi_1) = P_2(\phi_2)$ then $\phi_1 = \pm \phi_2$. This follows from the Buchsbaum-Eisenbud acyclicity criterion and the properties of minimal free resolutions, along the lines described in [7, §5.2]. $\square$

To compute the Hessian of a non-singular model $\phi \in X_5$, we begin by computing its invariants using the algorithm of [7, §8]. The auxiliary quadrics $q_1, \dots, q_5$ are computed as a by-product of this algorithm. Then we use the first identity of Theorem 12.2 to compute the 4×4 Pfaffians of $H(\phi)$. The genus one model $H(\phi)$ is recovered from its 4×4 Pfaffians using the algorithm described in [6]. This only determines $H(\phi)$ up to sign. In applications where the choice of sign matters, we use the second identity of Theorem 12.2 to make a consistent choice.

We have found similar algorithms for computing the contravariants and also the covariants for $Y = \wedge^2 W \otimes V^*$ and $Y = \wedge^2 W^* \otimes V$. We will report on these constructions and their arithmetic applications in subsequent work.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.Y. An, S.Y. Kim, D.C. Marshall, S.H. Marshall, W.G. McCallum and A.R. Perlis, Jacobians of genus one curves, *J. Number Theory* **90** (2001), no. 2, 304–315.
- [2] D.J. Benson, *Polynomial invariants of finite groups*, LMS Lecture Note Series **190**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [3] J.E. Cremona, T.A. Fisher, C. O’Neil, D. Simon and M. Stoll, *Explicit n -descent on elliptic curves, I Algebra*, preprint.
- [4] J.E. Cremona, B. Mazur, Visualizing elements in the Shafarevich-Tate group, *Experiment. Math.* **9** (2000), no. 1, 13–28.

- [5] T.A. Fisher. Some examples of 5 and 7 descent for elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **3** (2001) Issue 2, 169-201.
- [6] T.A. Fisher, *Genus one curves defined by Pfaffians*, preprint.
- [7] T.A. Fisher, *The invariants of a genus one curve*, preprint.
- [8] D. Hilbert, *Theory of algebraic invariants*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [9] K. Hulek, *Projective geometry of elliptic curves*, Soc. Math. de France, Astérisque **137** (1986).
- [10] F. Klein, *Lectures on the icosahedron and the solution of equations of the fifth degree*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1956.
- [11] MAGMA is described in W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system I: The user language, *J. Symb. Comb.* **24**, 235-265 (1997). (See also the Magma home page at <http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/>.)
- [12] B. Mazur, Visualizing elements of order three in the Shafarevich-Tate group, *Asian J. Math.* **3** (1999), no. 1, 221-232.
- [13] K. Rubin, A. Silverberg, Families of elliptic curves with constant mod p representations, *Elliptic curves, modular forms, and Fermat's last theorem*, 148-161, Ser. Number Theory, I, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.
- [14] K. Rubin, A. Silverberg, Mod 2 representations of elliptic curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129** (2001), no. 1, 53-57.
- [15] G. Salmon, *A treatise on the higher plane curves*, Third edition, Hodges, Foster and Figgis, Dublin, 1879.
- [16] A. Silverberg, Explicit families of elliptic curves with prescribed mod N representations, *Modular forms and Fermat's last theorem*, 447-461, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [17] A. Weil, Remarques sur un mémoire d'Hermite, *Arch. Math.* **5** (1954), 197-202.

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, DPMMS, CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, WILBERFORCE ROAD, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WB, UK

E-mail address: T.A.Fisher@dpmms.cam.ac.uk